

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/525,806	03/15/2000	Mikko Lukkaroinen	490-009156-US(PAR)	9761
75	590 12/12/2003		EXAM	INER
Ralph D Gelling			NALVEN, ANDREW L	
Perman & Green LLP 425 Post Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fairfield, CT 06430			2134	
			DATE MAILED: 12/12/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Commence	09/525,806	LUKKAROINEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew Nalven	2134				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be til eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the meiling date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	March 2000.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application	○ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
S)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 		a)-(d) or (f).				
 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie 	nts have been received in Applicat iority documents have been receiveau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ed in this National Stage				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the factor of the foreign language part o	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(first sentence of the specification o	e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes reference was included in the first sentence of	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/525,806 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-6 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The cited claims fail to further limit their respective parent claims. The claims provide the limitation "wherein said externally generated information is identified by said control processor." This limitation is covered by parent claims 1 and 3.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holmes et al US Patent No. 6,334,056 in view of Wallent et al US Patent No. 6,366,912. Holmes teaches a secure gateway for handhelds.

Application/Control Number: 09/525,806

Art Unit: 2134

806 Page 3

- With regards to claims 1, 3-4, and 6, Holmes teaches a control processor for 6. operating a mobile device (Holmes, column 3 lines 5-9 and column 3 lines 52-54) that has a display (Holmes, Figure 2). Holmes further teaches a server sending inquiries for confidential identity codes to the mobile device (Holmes, column 5 lines 13-25). Holmes fails to teach the ability to identify if inquiries are external or internal and the displaying of the result of the identification on the mobile device display. Wallent teaches a browser that supports network security zones. Wallent teaches the identification of whether inquiries are external or internal (Wallent, column 7 lines 39-56 and column 4 lines 37-42, column 3 lines 22-29), routing means to send externally generated information only to the first display zone (Wallent, Figure 7, column 9 line 67 - column 10 line 10. Figure 5), and the generation of an indication symbol in the second display zone when an inquiry is internally generated (Wallent, Figure 7, column 4 lines 48-49). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize Wallent's security zone system because it offers the advantage of allowing the categorizing of different servers according to levels of trust and removing the need to repeatedly query the user for permission to perform certain possibly dangerous operations (Wallent, column 2, lines 31-49).
- 7. With regards to claims 2 and 5, Holmes and Wallent teach first and second display zones that are dynamic and static displays respectively (Wallent, Figure 7).

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/525,806 Page 4

Art Unit: 2134

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

9. Any inquiry regarding this communication from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Nalven at (703) 305-8407 during the hours of 7:15 AM – 4:45 PM Monday through Thursday. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

In the event that attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on (703) 308 – 4789.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 872-9306 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202, Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Andrew Nalven

ALN

Matthew Smithers
PRIMARY EXAMINER
At Unit 2134

Application/Control Number: 09/525,806

Art Unit: 2134

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)

Page 5